

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/975,756   | 10/10/2001            | Yelena Loginova      | 967.061US1          | 2366            |
|  | 12/13/2004            |                      | EXAMINER            |                 |
| SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.<br>P.O. BOX 2938 |                       |                      | SHARAREH, SHAHNAM J |                 |
|  | MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
|  |                       |                      | 1617                |                 |

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action  |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |   | 09/975,756  | LOGINOVA ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L  |   | Shahnam Sharareh  | 1617  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | THE REPLY FILED 29 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| İ  | PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later tha ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F 706.07(f).   | sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th<br>an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o<br>FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI               | f the final rejection.<br>E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP   |  |  |  |  |  |
| e (  | Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more arrived patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | ion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in<br>nths after the mailing date of the final reje | fee. The appropriate extension fee under<br>the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in<br>ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| •  | (d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) allowed:   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) objected to:   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12, 14-26</u> .   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | . The drawing correction filed on is a) appro   | oved or b) disapproved by the   | ne Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10   | O. Other:   | · / -   | SHENGJUN WANG PRIMARY EXAMINER  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   | CONTROL CAMININE  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   | <i>&gt;</i> , <i>- ()</i>   |  |  |  |  |  |



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendment has modified the scope of the claims and thus require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments as presented are directed to claims that are amended after the final rejection. However, the prosecution on the merits of the case is closed and the claims stand rejected for the reasons of record.